

Honorable Robert J. Bryan
Hearing Date: January 13, 2012
Without Oral Argument

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

KIM GASKILL and KAREN GASKILL,
husband and wife, and the marital community
consisting thereof,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

TRAVELERS INSURANCE COMPANY,
a foreign insurance company; **SENTRY**
INSURANCE, a foreign insurance company; and
JOHN DOE INSURANCE, an unknown insurer,

Defendants.

NO. 3:11-cv-05847-RJB

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO
DISQUALIFY JUDGE

NOTE MOTION CALENDAR
January 13, 2012

I. MOTION

COME NOW Plaintiffs and move to disqualify the judge on the grounds that it appears that the judge has pre-judged a number of issues of importance in this case, including specifically issues of personal jurisdiction.

This motion is based upon the files and records herein, including the Declaration of Steven J. Thomas. A proposed form of order accompanies this motion.

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO
DISQUALIFY JUDGE – Page 1

LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN J. THOMAS
608 Eighth Street, P.O. Box 241
Hoquiam, Washington 98550-0241
(253) 735-9354

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

The Declaration of Steven J. Thomas demonstrates that Travelers employed two different names in pre-litigation correspondence. Travelers also refused to provide a copy of its policy to its first-party UIM claimant. Plaintiffs' counsel did not see the Travelers policy until early December of 2011.

The Declaration of Steven J. Thomas also demonstrates that Sentry employed at least three different additional names in its correspondence. Sentry also failed to provide a copy of its policy to its first-party UIM claimant. Plaintiffs' counsel did not see the Sentry policy until early December of 2011.

III. DISCUSSION

The disqualification of judges is provided by statute. A judge "shall disqualify himself in any proceeding in which his impartiality might reasonably be questioned." 28 U.S.C. § 455(a). *See, generally, Caperton v. A. T. Massey Coal Co., Inc.*, 129 S.Ct. 2252, 173 L.Ed.2d 1208 (2009).

The essence of prejudice is pre-judging. There are at least two senses in which the judicial officer assigned to this case has reached judgments in this case as to issues that were not properly before him for decision.

The first sense of pre-judging relates to the manifest fact¹ that the judge decided Plaintiffs' Motion To Remand without reference to plaintiffs' timely filed reply on the motion. While a reply is optional, due to the nature of a motion to remand it is wrong for the court to rule without allowing plaintiff to reply.

From a jurisprudential standpoint, a plaintiff's motion to remand is analogous to a

¹ Had the court read plaintiffs' reply before writing its opinion, it would not have had to go on at length about the amount in controversy. The amount in controversy was established when Travelers filed its policy declarations in this court, making them available for the first time.

1 defendant's motion for summary judgment. In effect, each motion says to the opposing party,
2 "State your factual and legal basis for being in this court." Such motions are often bare-boned,
3 and the meat is in the reply to the other party's response in an attempt to meet its burden of proof.
4 Such is this case.

5 Thus, the first sense in which the judge has pre-judged matters is in deciding and writing
6 an opinion without reference to plaintiff's reply in a jurisprudential context where the reply was
7 likely to be relevant.

8 The second sense in which the judge has pre-judged matters was in declaring service on
9 the defendants to be invalid. That is the claim of the defendants, but the claim is probably
10 without factual or legal foundation given that the defendants were most likely served by the
11 Office of the Insurance Commissioner. *See* Declaration of Steven J. Thomas.

12 Under the facts of this case, plaintiffs were prepared to argue that actual service had been
13 made on the defendants by the means intended by statute to confer personal jurisdiction. Any
14 objections by the Office of the Insurance Commissioner were incorrect and at most
15 hypertechnical in nature. (In the case of Travelers, the OIC complained that they were being
16 overpaid by ten dollars because they were rejecting service on Sentry. In the case of Sentry, the
17 OIC complained that they did not have a listing for the name, which is what one would expect in
18 the case on an unauthorized insurer.)

19 These difficulties in naming the defendants was occasioned entirely by the wrongful
20 conduct of the defendants. The insurance companies' refusals to provide copies of their policies
21 breaches Washington law:

22 No insurer shall fail to fully disclose to first party claimants all pertinent benefits,
23 coverages or other provisions of an insurance policy or insurance contract under
which a claim is presented.

24 Wash. Admin. Code 284-30-350(1). Among the pertinent provisions not disclosed are the exact
25 names of the insurers against whom claims are sought to be asserted. Plaintiffs in particular were
26 entitled to the benefit of this rule:

1 Dated this 29th day of December, 2011.

2
3 LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN J. THOMAS

4
5
6 By /s/ Steven J. Thomas
Steven J. Thomas, WSBA #20076

7
8 – and –

9 James K. Gazori WSBA #19900
10 LAW OFFICE OF JAMES K. GAZORI
1620 Olympic Highway North
P.O. Box 1255
11 Shelton, Washington 98584
Tel: (360) 462-0018
12 email: jkgattorney@hctc.com

13 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 29, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the following:

David Lawrence Sanders WSBA #39697
Ryan J. Hesselgesser WSBA #40720
Thomas Lether WSBA #18089
Lether & Associates PLLC
3316 Fuhrman Avenue East, Suite 250
Seattle, Washington 98102
Tel: (206) 467-5444

Patrick Michael Paulich WSBA #10951

Thorsrud Cane & Paulich
1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1300
Seattle, Washington 98101
Tel: (206) 386-7755

Counsel for Travelers Insurance Company

Counsel for Sentry Insurance

CERTIFIED BY:

/s Steven J. Thomas, WSBA #20076

LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN J. THOMAS
608 Eighth Street
P.O. Box 241
Hoquiam, Washington 98550-0241
Tel: (253) 735-9354
Fax: (360) 533-1819
email: stevenjthomas@comcast.net

Attorney for Plaintiffs

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO
DISQUALIFY JUDGE – Page 6

LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN J. THOMAS
608 Eighth Street, P.O. Box 241
Hoquiam, Washington 98550-0241
(253) 735-9354